

Response

Claims 1-10 remain pending in the application, with the Examiner previously having indicated claims 3-7 would be allowable if appropriately rewritten. The Examiner finally rejected claims 1-2 and 8-10, however, refusing to accept the Declaration of the inventors previously filed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131. According to the Examiner, the Declaration is insufficient solely “because it is *unsigned*.” See Office Action at p. 2 (emphasis added).

The Declaration undeniably *is* signed by all inventors. Further telephone discussions with the Examiner yielded information that the Declaration is deemed insufficient solely because it is *undated* by the inventors. During the telephone discussions, the Examiner cited M.P.E.P. § 602 (including its reference to 28 U.S.C. § 1746) as purportedly requiring that all declarations be dated. However, the Examiner ignores M.P.E.P. § 602.05, which clearly states:

The Office no longer checks the date of execution of [a] declaration and the Office will no longer require a newly executed . . . declaration . . . where the date of execution has been omitted.

(Emphasis added.) Applicants accordingly believe the Examiner’s sole basis for refusing to accept the inventors’ Declaration is erroneous and request that the rejection of claims 1-2 and 8-10 be withdrawn.

Nevertheless, Applicants have attached hereto behind Tab A a signed and dated version of the inventors’ prior Declaration. The Declaration appearing behind Tab A is substantively identical to the previously-submitted version. It merely now includes execution dates for each of the inventor’s signatures and corrects a paragraph numbering issue in the prior version. Thus, even were the Examiner

correct that the Declaration must be dated, the version attached behind Tab A clearly is. For this additional reason, Applicants request that claims 1-2 and 8-10 also be allowed and that a patent containing claims 1-10 issue in due course.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dean W. Russell".

OF COUNSEL:

Kilpatrick Stockton LLP
1100 Peachtree Street
Suite 2800
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
(404) 815-6528

Dean W. Russell
Reg. No. 33,452
Attorney for the Assignee